

THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MARY FUNSTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY
DISTRICT NO. 1, a local government entity,
ROBERT BOONE MILL, individually and in
his official capacity, JULIA ANDERSON,
individually and in her official capacity,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00277-RSL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'
DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT**

STIPULATION

1. On June 10, 2021, the parties filed their Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Defendants' Deadline to Respond to the Complaint [Dkt.10] (the "Stipulation"), which the Court entered on June 11, 2021 [Dkt.#11].

2. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the current deadline for all Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's complaint was July 23, 2021.

3. Subsequently, on June 18, 2021, Plaintiff filed her First Amended Complaint for Damages [Dkt.#14].

4. Defendants intend to file a motion to dismiss the FAC and, in support of their

1 motion to dismiss, Defendants intend to file certain documents from Plaintiff's employment file
 2 with defendant Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County. Those documents may be
 3 considered confidential in their entirety and/or contain confidential information to be redacted, and
 4 which would need to be filed under seal and/or redacted.

5 5. The parties have met and conferred regarding whether such documents need to be
 6 filed under seal and/or redacted, but require additional time to facilitate their discussions. In order
 7 to complete the process of working cooperatively to identify which documents may need to be
 8 filed under seal and/or redacted, the parties have agreed to a very brief (one-week) extension of
 9 the deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's operative complaint (*i.e.*, the FAC).

10 6. The District's counsel and Plaintiff's counsel have met and conferred in good faith
 11 regarding the requested extension, and have agreed to extend the deadline to July 30, 2021 for
 12 Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's operative complaint.

13 7. Accordingly, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the parties specifically agree as
 14 follows: the deadline for all Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's operative complaint shall be July
 15 30, 2021.

16
 17 **SO STIPULATED** this July 22, 2021.

18
 19 By: /s/ Christopher M. Huck
 20 Christopher M. Huck
 (WSBA No. 34104)
Goldfarb & Huck Roth Riojas, PLLC
 21 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3950
 Seattle, WA 98104
 22 Phone: 206-452-0260
 Email: huck@goldfarb-huck.com

23 Attorneys for Defendants

By: /s/ P. Jason Skuda
 P. Jason Skuda
 (WSBA No. 36358)
Skuda Law Firm PLLC
 110 Prefontaine Place S., Suite 304
 Seattle, WA 98104
 Phone: 206-860-6995
 Email: jason@skudalaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ORDER

Pursuant to the above Stipulation, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this July 23rd, 2021.



Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

Presented by:

/s/ Christopher M. Huck
Christopher M. Huck
(WSBA No. 34104)

Goldfarb & Huck Roth Riojas, PLLC
925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3950
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: 206-452-0260
Email: huck@goldfarb-huck.com

Attorneys for Defendants